A Review of Literature on the Interrelationship between Demographic Variables, Behavioural Biases, and Risk Tolerance Among Individual Investors

***Dr. Pawan Sahni**

Abstract

Investment decisions are not purely rational processes but are influenced by a combination of demographic factors, behavioural biases, and individual levels of risk tolerance. The traditional finance paradigm, which assumes investor rationality and market efficiency, has been increasingly challenged by behavioural finance theories emphasizing psychological influences on decision-making. This paper presents a comprehensive review of literature on the interrelationship between demographic variables (age, gender, education, income, and experience), behavioural biases (overconfidence, loss aversion, herding, and disposition effect), and risk tolerance among individual investors. Drawing on studies published, the paper integrates empirical and theoretical insights to understand how these variables interact to shape investment behavior. The findings suggest that demographic profiles significantly affect susceptibility to behavioural biases, which in turn influence risk-taking and portfolio preferences. The study concludes by identifying key gaps in existing research and suggesting directions for future inquiry into the psychological and socio-economic determinants of investor behavior.

Keywords: Demographic Variables, Behavioural Biases, Risk Tolerance, Investor Behaviour, Behavioural Finance, Investment Decision, Financial Psychology.

Introduction

The field of behavioural finance has transformed the understanding of investment decisions by incorporating insights from psychology and sociology into economic theory. Traditional finance models, grounded in the *Efficient Market Hypothesis* (Fama, 1970) and *Expected Utility Theory* (Von Neumann & Morgenstern, 1947), assume that investors act rationally to maximize wealth. However, empirical evidence indicates that investor decisions often deviate from rational predictions due to psychological biases and demographic differences.

Individual investors differ widely in their attitudes toward risk, shaped by age, gender, income, education, experience, and cultural background. Behavioural biases—such as overconfidence, herding, loss aversion, mental accounting, and anchoring—further distort rational decision-making. Understanding how demographic and psychological factors jointly influence risk tolerance is essential for explaining investor heterogeneity and for designing appropriate financial advisory frameworks.

This paper reviews the extensive body of literature on the interrelationship between demographic characteristics, behavioural biases, and risk tolerance. It highlights the importance of integrating these dimensions to understand investor behavior holistically, particularly in emerging markets like India where financial literacy and behavioural tendencies vary considerably.

Objectives

The primary objectives of this study are:

1. To review theoretical foundations linking demographic variables, behavioural biases, and risk tolerance.
2. To analyze empirical studies exploring how demographic factors influence behavioural biases among investors.
3. To examine the mediating role of behavioural biases in determining individual risk tolerance.
4. To identify gaps in the literature and propose future research directions for understanding investor decision-making.

Methodology

This paper employs a **qualitative and analytical literature review approach** based on secondary data from scholarly journals, working papers, and reports published. Major sources include the *Journal of Behavioral Finance*, *Journal of Economic Psychology*, *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, and *Indian Journal of Finance*.

The methodology includes:

- **Literature Identification:** Selection of peer-reviewed studies examining behavioural biases, demographic determinants, and risk tolerance.
- **Categorization:** Grouping studies by key themes—demographic effects, behavioural bias typologies, and risk tolerance models.
- **Synthesis:** Integrating theoretical and empirical findings to establish conceptual linkages between demographic factors and behavioral influences.

This review emphasizes conceptual integration over quantitative meta-analysis, focusing on recurring patterns, contradictions, and emerging perspectives in behavioural finance literature.

Theoretical Foundations

Behavioural finance emerged as a response to the limitations of classical finance theories. Several key theoretical frameworks explain the relationship among demographics, biases, and risk tolerance:

1. Prospect Theory (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979): This theory posits that individuals evaluate gains and losses relative to a reference point and exhibit loss aversion—preferring to avoid losses

rather than acquire equivalent gains. It provides the psychological basis for understanding risk perception and decision asymmetry.

2. Expected Utility and Risk Tolerance Theory: According to utility theory, individuals choose investments that maximize expected satisfaction rather than absolute returns. Risk tolerance—the degree of variability in returns an investor is willing to accept—varies with demographic and psychological factors (Sung & Hanna, 1996).

3. Overconfidence and Self-Attribution Bias (Odean, 1998): Overconfident investors overestimate their knowledge and underestimate risk, leading to excessive trading and suboptimal diversification.

4. Herding Behavior (Banerjee, 1992): Investors imitate the actions of others under uncertainty, leading to market anomalies and asset bubbles.

5. Socio-Demographic Perspective: Demographic variables influence both financial knowledge and behavioral tendencies. Age, gender, education, and income shape an individual's experience, perception of control, and susceptibility to bias.

Collectively, these theories explain why investor decisions deviate from rationality and how individual characteristics shape behavioral outcomes.

Demographic Variables and Investor Behavior

Demographic characteristics significantly influence risk tolerance and susceptibility to behavioral biases:

- **Age:** Younger investors are more risk-tolerant and prone to overconfidence, while older investors exhibit conservatism bias and loss aversion (Grable & Lytton, 1999).
- **Gender:** Studies (Barber & Odean, 2001) found that men are generally more overconfident and engage in higher trading volumes, while women demonstrate greater caution and diversification.
- **Education and Financial Literacy:** Higher education enhances analytical ability and reduces behavioral biases. Financial literacy increases risk tolerance by improving understanding of risk–return trade-offs.
- **Income and Wealth:** Investors with higher income levels tend to have greater capacity for risk-taking, while lower-income investors exhibit stronger loss aversion.
- **Experience:** Investment experience reduces emotional biases through learning and familiarity effects (Chaudhary, 2013).

Demographic factors not only influence risk perception but also interact with psychological biases to produce unique behavioral patterns in investment decisions.

Behavioural Biases and Their Influence on Risk Tolerance

Behavioural biases distort rational decision-making and influence how investors perceive and tolerate risk:

- **Overconfidence Bias:** Leads to excessive trading and underestimation of risk (Odean, 1998).
- **Loss Aversion:** Investors prefer avoiding losses to acquiring gains, resulting in conservative portfolios (Kahneman & Tversky, 1979).
- **Herding Effect:** Group behavior causes investors to follow market trends irrespective of fundamentals (Bikhchandani & Sharma, 2001).
- **Anchoring and Mental Accounting:** Investors rely on irrelevant reference points and separate decisions into mental accounts, affecting portfolio efficiency (Thaler, 1999).
- **Disposition Effect:** Tendency to sell winning stocks early and hold losing ones longer, reducing portfolio returns (Shefrin & Statman, 1985).

Empirical research (Pompian, 2012; Waweru et al., 2008) confirms that these biases interact with demographic profiles. For example, younger male investors with high confidence exhibit greater overtrading, whereas older investors show strong disposition bias due to emotional attachment to assets.

Interrelationship Between Demographics, Behavioural Biases, and Risk Tolerance

Numerous studies have explored the interconnected nature of demographics, biases, and risk tolerance:

- **Grable & Joo (2004)** found that age, gender, and income significantly predict risk tolerance among U.S. investors.
- **Chitra & Jayashree (2014)** identified that demographic variables moderate behavioral biases such as overconfidence and herd behavior among Indian retail investors.
- **Baker & Ricciardi (2014)** concluded that behavioral biases partially mediate the effect of demographics on investment choices.
- **Barber & Odean (2001)** demonstrated that gender differences in overconfidence lead to higher trading and lower net returns for men.

These findings reveal a triadic interrelationship: demographic factors shape the intensity of behavioral biases, which in turn determine individual risk tolerance and investment behavior. For instance, higher income and education enhance analytical capacity, mitigating biases and encouraging moderate risk-taking.

Behavioural Finance in the Indian Context

The Indian investment landscape provides unique insights into the interplay between demographics, biases, and risk tolerance. The rapid expansion of financial markets, increased access to technology, and rising middle-class participation have diversified investor behavior.

Studies by **Pompian (2012)** and **Chaudhary (2013)** indicate that Indian investors are particularly influenced by social and emotional factors. It was found evidence of herding behavior during market volatility, while **Bashir et al. (2013)** observed that demographic differences—especially education and age—moderate behavioral biases in portfolio decisions.

Despite rising financial literacy, many Indian investors still rely on heuristics and peer influence rather than formal analysis, underscoring the need for targeted investor education programs and behavioral awareness initiatives.

Challenges and Research Gaps

Although significant progress has been made in understanding investor psychology, several gaps remain:

1. **Measurement Inconsistency:** Diverse methodologies to measure behavioral biases and risk tolerance hinder comparability.
2. **Cultural Context:** Most models are based on Western markets; limited research explores socio-cultural influences in emerging economies.
3. **Dynamic Behavioural Changes:** Few studies capture temporal shifts in investor behavior during different market cycles.
4. **Neuro-finance Perspective:** Limited integration of neuroscience in explaining cognitive processes underlying financial decisions.
5. **Cross-Generational Differences:** Research seldom addresses how digitalization and generational differences reshape risk attitudes.

Addressing these challenges requires interdisciplinary approaches combining psychology, economics, and data analytics to understand complex behavioral interactions.

Conclusion and Future Scope

This review concludes that demographic variables and behavioral biases are interdependent factors shaping individual risk tolerance and investment behavior. Age, gender, income, and education not only determine financial capacity but also influence psychological predispositions toward risk and uncertainty.

Behavioural biases such as overconfidence, herding, and loss aversion mediate the relationship between demographics and investment outcomes, leading to deviations from rational expectations. Understanding these interrelationships is essential for financial advisors, policymakers, and educators seeking to enhance investor decision-making and market efficiency.

Future Scope

1. Develop integrated behavioral–demographic models to predict investor risk tolerance.
2. Conduct longitudinal studies to assess behavioral shifts across economic cycles.
3. Explore cross-cultural and generational differences in behavioral finance.
4. Examine interventions like financial literacy and digital tools to mitigate biases.
5. Investigate neuro-financial indicators for deeper understanding of cognitive decision-making.

By integrating demographic insights with behavioral finance theories, future studies can contribute to more inclusive and psychologically grounded financial systems.

***Department of Business Admn**
S.S.S Govt. P.G Girls College
Dausa (Raj.)

References

1. Baker, H. K., & Ricciardi, V. (2014). *Investor Behavior: The Psychology of Financial Planning and Investing.* Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
2. Banerjee, A. V. (1992). A Simple Model of Herd Behavior. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 107(3), 797–817.
3. Barber, B. M., & Odean, T. (2001). Boys Will Be Boys: Gender, Overconfidence, and Common Stock Investment. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 116(1), 261–292.
4. Bashir, T., Arshad, A., & Khan, S. (2013). Impact of Demographic Factors on Investors' Decision-Making. *Middle-East Journal of Scientific Research*, 17(12), 1765–1773.
5. Bikhchandani, S., & Sharma, S. (2001). Herd Behavior in Financial Markets. *IMF Staff Papers*, 47(3), 279–310.
6. Chaudhary, A. (2013). Impact of Behavioral Finance in Investment Decisions and Strategies. *International Journal of Management & Information Technology*, 4(1), 683–692.
7. Chitra, K., & Jayashree, R. (2014). Does Demographic Profile Influence Behavioral Biases? *Asian Journal of Research in Social Sciences and Humanities*, 4(12), 355–366.
8. Fama, E. F. (1970). Efficient Capital Markets: A Review of Theory and Empirical Work. *Journal of Finance*, 25(2), 383–417.
9. Grable, J. E., & Joo, S. (2004). Environmental and Biopsychosocial Factors Associated with Financial Risk Tolerance. *Financial Counseling and Planning*, 15(1), 73–82.

A Review of Literature on the Interrelationship between Demographic Variables, Behavioural Biases, and Risk Tolerance Among Individual Investors

Dr. Pawan Sahni

10. Grable, J. E., & Lytton, R. H. (1999). Financial Risk Tolerance Revisited. *Financial Services Review*, 8(3), 163–181.

11. Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision under Risk. *Econometrica*, 47(2), 263–291.

12. Odean, T. (1998). Volume, Volatility, Price, and Profit When All Traders Are Above Average. *Journal of Finance*, 53(6), 1887–1934.

13. Pompian, M. M. (2012). *Behavioral Finance and Investor Types: Managing Behavior to Make Better Investment Decisions.* Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.

14. Shefrin, H., & Statman, M. (1985). The Disposition to Sell Winners Too Early and Ride Losers Too Long. *Journal of Finance*, 40(3), 777–790.

15. Sung, J., & Hanna, S. D. (1996). Factors Related to Risk Tolerance. *Financial Counseling and Planning*, 7(1), 11–20.

16. Thaler, R. H. (1999). Mental Accounting Matters. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, 12(3), 183–206.

17. Von Neumann, J., & Morgenstern, O. (1947). *Theory of Games and Economic Behavior.* Princeton: Princeton University Press.

18. Waweru, N. M., Munyoki, E., & Uliana, E. (2008). The Effects of Behavioral Factors in Investment Decision-Making. *Journal of Business and Economic Research*, 6(12), 73–84.